



Stakeholder Reference Group Meeting

CONTACT:

andrzej@renewables-grid.eu
elisabeth@ember-energy.org
Vasiliki.Klonari@windeurope.org
bclaeys@raponline.org

Date: 09.04.2025 Weekly Meeting

Attendees

Member Name	Organisation
Michal Ostatnický	Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE, CEDEC (Gas DSO Associations)
Eva Hennig	Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE, CEDEC (Gas DSO Associations)
Joni Karjalainen	CAN Europe
Anaïs Picart	RGI
Gianluca Geneletti	Ember
Richard Masquelier	EHI
Ganni Vassallo	Bellona
Isabel Alcalde	Hydrogen Europe
Hussein Basma	ICCT
Franklin Streichenberger	CO2 Value Europe
Anastasiya Agapova	EBA
Machteld van den Broek	TU Delft
Daniel Vig	EASE
Megan Anderson	Agora Think Tanks
Observers Name	Organisation
Lea Dehaut	ENTSO-E
Convenors Name	Organisation
Andrzej Ceglaz	RGI
Elisabeth Cremona	Ember
Bram Claeys	RAP

Agenda Points

16:00-16:30	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Welcome 2. New representative of EBA 3. Update from the working groups (incl. outcomes of the workshops and SRG insights/ recommendations) 4. Reminder: longer meeting next week (Artelys will be presenting) 5. AOB
-------------	---

Summary

Point 2	<p><i>New representative of EBA</i></p> <p>Information about the new representative of the EBA in the SRG was circulated. A week was allocated to receive potential reactions from SRG members and since no comments were raised, a new representative of the EBA (including a new alternate) were welcomed into the group.</p>
Point 3	<p><i>Update from the working groups (incl. outcomes of the workshops and SRG insights/ recommendations)</i></p> <p>Before starting the round of updates, a suggestion was made to WG chairs to provide more contextual information when sharing updates, in order to ensure better understanding from all SRG members.</p> <p><u>WG1</u>: A meeting was held the day before to reflect on the SRG's involvement with the Innovation Roadmap. It was the occasion to recall the SRG's engagement with the Innovation Roadmap in 2024 and to understand what can be learned from that. A draft document with SRG feedback has been elaborated and circulated, with some comments from SRG members. The objective is to finalise the document by the end of the month, but the modalities in which the feedback will be consolidated are still being discussed. A session on the gap filling methodology is also planned and will take place at the end of the month or in early May. Lastly, a session is planned mid-May to review the questions of the public consultation on the Innovation Roadmap prepared by the ENTSOs.</p> <p><u>WG2</u>: The feedback on EV modelling was consolidated and a paper was shared to aggregate SRG feedback. More work is coming on heat pumps and their contribution to flexibility, as well as on flexibility for industrial users.</p> <p><u>WG3</u>: Input regarding projections for commodity costs was submitted. The objective is to come up with a formal SRG recommendation alongside the upcoming public consultation.</p> <p>Following the workshop on hydrogen and synfuel modelling, the ENTSOs communicated their wish to get SRG feedback by April 16. However, this needs to be clarified since a longer timeline had been envisaged during the workshop. The idea of a follow up</p>

STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP FOR THE TYNDP SCENARIOS

	<p>workshop had also been proposed, but no feedback from the ENTSOs has been received on this issue yet. Lastly, as the workshop on hydrogen and ammonia import potential was pushed, the new timeline needs to be clarified.</p> <p><u>WG4</u>: Material was shared with the ENTSOs on national capture projections. In addition, the second part of the work on industrial emissions will be shared with the ENTSOs by the end of the week.</p> <p>Lastly, it was agreed that the recent weeks have been intense in producing feedback with tight timelines. Though the feedback was deemed very good, it has been difficult to consolidate official SRG recommendations as this necessitates longer internal procedures. The co-convenors and chairs will go through the internal process and discuss on how the SRG can be better aligned in how it operates and generates different outputs.</p>
Point 4	<p><i>Reminder: longer meeting next week (Artelys will be presenting)</i></p> <p>The SRG was reminded that the meeting in the following week would last one hour, to welcome Artelys as an external speaker. Artelys is conducting a study commissioned by ACER to investigate the TYNDP process. Next week, the details and plans of the study will be presented to the SRG.</p> <p>In addition, Artelys would like to conduct an interview with the SRG convenors, but more time is needed to align on the questions and the interview timeline might be postponed in coordination with Artelys.</p>
Point 5	<p>AOB</p> <p>No other business was shared.</p>

Actions	Follow-up and Responsibility
None.	