



Stakeholder Reference Group Meeting

CONTACT:

andrzej@renewables-grid.eu
elisabeth@ember-energy.org
Vasiliki.Klonari@windeurope.org
bclaeys@raponline.org

Date: 02.04.2025 Weekly Meeting

Attendees

Member Name	Organisation
Ostatnický Michal	Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE, CEDEC (Gas DSO Associations)
Hennig, Eva	Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE, CEDEC (Gas DSO Associations)
Daniel Rüdtt	OET
Joni Karjalainen	CAN Europe
Anaïs Picart	RGI
Gianluca Geneletti	Ember
Anastasios Perimenis	CO2 Value Europe
Richard Masquelier	EHI
Grzegorz Pawelec	Hydrogen Europe
Marija Dabrisiute	WindEurope
Tobias Bühnen	GIE
Timo Cordeiro Gerres	GIE
Ganni Vassallo	Bellona
Isabel Alcalde	Hydrogen Europe
Annette Jantzen	EUTurbines/EUGINE
Pamela MacDougall	Amazon
Observers Name	Organisation
Andreas Graf	ACER
Stefano Astorri	ACER
Nalan Buyuk	ENTSO-E
Mads Boesen	ENTSOG

STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP FOR THE TYNDP SCENARIOS

Alexander Kättlitz	ENTSOG
Maciej Grzeszczyk	EC
Convenors Name	Organisation
Andrzej Ceglarz	RGI
Elisabeth Cremona	Ember
Vasiliki Klonari	WindEurope
Bram Claeys	RAP

Agenda Points

16:00-16:30	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Welcome 2. New representative of Hydrogen Europe 3. Update from the working groups (incl. upcoming workshops) 4. Study on TYNDP by Artelys – longer weekly meeting on 16.04. 5. AOB
-------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Summary

Point 2	<p><i>New representative of Hydrogen Europe</i></p> <p>Following a replacement, the new Hydrogen Europe representative was introduced to the SRG.</p>
Point 3	<p><i>Update from the working groups</i></p> <p><u>WG1</u>: It was reminded that WG1 is currently collecting feedback to the final Innovation Roadmap until the following day. The ENTSOs published the Innovation Roadmap in February this year, to which the SRG had already given preliminary feedback in early autumn 2024. The ENTSOs are currently collecting feedback from the SRG to provide reflections and general comments on the process and on the final list of innovations. A session is planned for the following week, on Tuesday 8 April, to reflect further on this topic. The discussion will be based on the received comments.</p> <p><u>WG2</u>: A workshop on EV modelling took place in the previous week. Following the workshop, a paper was written to gather SRG input on what was presented. An email was then circulated to allow members to comment on this paper until April 3, in order to gather feedback on what was presented and share it with the ENTSOs by the deadline, April 4. The need for concrete feedback was stressed and members were encouraged to send their comments until the next day.</p>

	<p><u>WG3</u>: Two meetings will be held by WG3 on the following day. The first one is a workshop with ENTSOG on hydrogen and synfuel modeling, looking at parameters and assumptions. The second one is the recurring WG3 meeting, in which the group will discuss the feedback on CO2 prices, commodity prices and technology costs, which is to be provided to the ENTSOs by noon on the following Monday.</p> <p>Initially, a workshop on the methodology for hydrogen and ammonia import potential and costs was to take place on the following Friday, but has to be postponed since the material to be presented still needs to be refined from the ENTSOs' side. A question was raised on the possibility to respect the timeline after this change, since the feedback collected is meant to be implemented in the draft assumptions submitted to public consultation. It was agreed that it might be difficult to organise the workshop in time for the SRG to provide feedback that can then be implemented in the public consultation documents. An option was proposed that the SRG feedback could be submitted in parallel to the consultation and would potentially not be included in the consulted material. In this case, it was assured that the feedback could still be taken into account for the final assumptions, together with the public consultation input. Given the difficulty to give meaningful feedback in time, it was agreed that the workshop can be pushed after Easter.</p> <p>A remark was raised that the link between the published Innovation Roadmap document and the output of the thematic workshops input parameters should be explored.</p> <p><u>WG4</u>: The working group is in the process of gathering the information that was discussed during the workshop with ENTSO which took place a few weeks earlier. Feedback is expected by April 14, but it should be ready by the following week.</p>
Point 4	<p><i>Study on TYNDP by Artelys - longer weekly meeting on 16.04.</i></p> <p>Artelys is carrying out a study on the TYNDP and resource adequacy, commissioned by ACER. Artelys reached out to SRG co-convenors, and a meeting will be held to discuss the SRG's views on the process. Artelys will join the weekly meeting on April 16 to present the study to the SRG. Therefore, the weekly meeting on this date will be extended to one hour and an updated calendar invitation will be sent.</p>
Point 5	No other business.

Actions	Follow-up and Responsibility
SRG members to provide feedback on the Innovation Roadmap, by 03.04	WG1
SRG members to provide feedback on EV modelling, by 03.04	WG2