

Stakeholder Reference Group Weekly Meeting

CONTACT:

Eva.Hennig@thuega.de
andrzej@renewables-grid.eu
Vasiliki.Klonari@windeurope.org
bclaeys@raponline.org

Date: 10.07.2024

ATTENDEES	
Member Name	Organisation
Abi Afthab OLKATHODI	Eurelectric
Alexandre Oudalov	T&D Europe
Amalfitano, Giovanni	EUTurbines
Anastasios Perimenis	CO2 Value Europe
Andrej Stancik	European Federation of Energy Traders (EFET)
Elisabeth Cremona, Ember	Ember
Franklin STREICHENBERGER	CO2 Value Europe
Ganni Vassallo	Bellona
Grzegorz Pawelec	Hydrogen Europe
Joni Karjalainen	Climate Action Network (CAN) Europe
Lucy Yu	smartEn - Smart Energy Europe
Marie Margot Manon Guérin	Equinor
Martha Frysztacki	Open Energy Transition
Vidushi Dembi	WindEurope
Observers Name	Organisation
Kristy Louise RHADES (ACER)	ACER
Stefano ASTORRI (ACER)	ACER
Aisling Wall	ENTSO-G
Roberto Francia	ENTSO-G
Alexander Kättlitz	ENTSO-G
Andrzej Ceglaz	Renewables Grid Initiative (RGI)
Bram Claeys	Regulatory Assistance Project
Eva Hennig	Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE, CEDEC (Gas DSO Associations)
Vasiliki Klonari	WindEurope

AGENDA POINTS

4.00-4.30 pm	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Welcome 2. Report back from the Closing TYNDP 2024 and Kick-off of TYNDP 2026 workshop 3. Update from the working groups for the 2026 TYNDP process 4. ECEMP conference abstract 5. AOB
--------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

SUMMARY

<p>Point 2</p>	<p>The workshop was very well visited, in person and online. The slides and the stream can be accessed here https://2026.entso-tyndp-scenarios.eu/download/.</p> <p>The discussion and the questions in the chat were constructive. Criticism of missing stakeholder information was less than at the events in the past.</p> <p>The SRG was presented in the morning. The SRG presentations can be found on the Sharepoint. The SRG was mentioned several times during the workshop. ACER thanked the members of the SRG for the good work and expressed their hope for further strong involvement.</p> <p>In the afternoon the new framework of the 2026 scenario cycle was introduced by the ENTSOs and ACER. To kick-start the discussion on the parameters related to high and low economy growth variants, insights from the SRG work were presented. To include the thoughts of participants they were invited to join an interactive “fishbowl” format. The results of the discussion will be included in the work of the WG1.</p>
<p>Point 3</p>	<p><u>WG1:</u></p> <p><u>Stakeholder engagement plan:</u> 7 recommendations were compiled and can be found on sharepoint. As a next step, the SRG members are asked to provide their last inputs by 16.07. and a voting process on these recommendations to deliver them to the ENTSOs will start on 18.07.</p> <p><u>Economic variants:</u> 1. Step: The ENTSOs will create a one-pager with their considerations about the high-level parameters and uncertainties until 15.7. The SRG members are asked to comment on them. 2. Step: WG1 will create a document that consolidates its work on this topic. 3. Step: SRG will work on detailed recommendations followed by a voting process to express an opinion with all SRG members until end of August.</p> <p><u>Innovation Roadmap:</u> the ENTSOs are currently compiling a comprehensive list from the various input they received. This includes the written recommendations of the SRG in February, the current list of proposals from the WG and the proposals from the national TSOs. The ENTSOs will discuss all input on 23.7. and create a draft list of innovation topics to discuss with the SRG. The content of the list will depend on the feasibility within the fixed timeline of the 2026 scenario and the impact that each innovation topic would have on the IT tools. Those topics not considered for 2026 and new topics developing in the coming months will be kept by the SRG in a “Potential innovation list” to prepare the discussion for the TYNDP 2028 scenario.</p> <p><u>WG2:</u> A call is put to members for data that is needed for a better modelling, e.g. charging load profiles for EVs and trucks. The WG will work on concrete proposals in the next weeks on the methods to model the EV. In addition an additional workshop is planned on the modelling after the summer break.</p> <p><u>WG3:</u> The WG contributed to the innovation list with proposals for modelling innovation. The work will pick up again as soon as the decision on the innovation roadmap will be taken. A potential workshop on generation calculations is under discussion. The topic of flexibility could merit a second workshop to identify, which rules have to be defined around the activation of flexibilities (timeframes, frequency, share of the loads, working hours, holidays etc.). Furthermore, does the innovation with flexibility depend strongly on the question which of the current IT program (e.g., Plexos or ETM) has the ability to include certain logical rules for the activation without larger reprogramming efforts.</p> <p><u>WG4:</u> The WG has received the underlying assumptions in the 2024 scenario for the calculation of carbon dioxide removal, GHG emissions and carbon budgets. The WG is analysing</p>

	the content and plans to organise a meeting with the ENTSOs. The timing depends on the vacation windows of the colleagues in the WG and the ENTSOs.
Point 4	ECEMP (European Climate&Energy Modelling Platform) is organizing a conference in October on “Energy Transition Scenarios for a Climate Neutral Europe”. They sent out a call for papers to be presented at the conference 16./17. October in Brussels. The convenors have applied for a short presentation on stakeholder engagement in the TYNDP process.

ACTIONS		FOLLOW-UP + RESPONSIBILITY
Action 1	ENTSOs deliver a high level summary of the overlying parameters for high and low economy	To ENTSOs until 15.7. → Done
Action 2	SRG members comment on the high level parameters for economic variants	To all SRG members until 23.7.
Action 3	Setting up voting process for SRG recommendations on stakeholder engagement	Joni/Andrzej
Action 4	Planning a voting process for recommendations on economic variants in mid-August	Joni/Andrzej