# STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP for the Joint TYNDP Scenarios

# **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. BASIS FOR THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP
- COMPOSITION AND OPERATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP
  - 3.1 Selection of Stakeholder Reference Group Members
  - 3.2 Stakeholder Reference Group Observer Members
  - 3.3 Stakeholder Reference Group Membership Principles
  - 3.4 Stakeholder Reference Group Convenor(s)
  - 3.5 Stakeholder Reference Group Decision Making
  - 3.6 Transparency and Documentation

# 4. WORK OF THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP

- 4.1 Stakeholder Reference Group Inputs
- 4.2 Information to accomplish the tasks of the Stakeholder Reference Group

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

As noted in ACER's Framework Guidelines, the development of scenarios for the Ten-Year Network Development Plan ("TYNDP") process "shall follow as much as possible an open process to involve stakeholders, enabling a broad participation." To ensure key stakeholders are involved, the TEN-E regulation and ACER's Framework Guidelines call for the creation of a Stakeholder Reference Group ("SRG"), which shall act independently of the ENTSOs "with the aim of providing timely, expert input to the ENTSOs' development of scenarios in accordance with the ENTSOs' scenario development timeline." 1

These Terms of Reference ("ToR") shall provide guidance for the SRG established pursuant to the TEN-E regulation and ACER's Framework Guidelines on the Joint TYNDP Scenarios ("ACER Framework Guidelines"), as explained below. The ToR have been adopted by the initial SRG on December 19, 2023. The SRG approved version 2 of the ToR on March 5, 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ACER, Framework Guidelines (Jan. 25, 2023) at para. 45, available at: https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Acts\_of\_the\_Agency/Framework\_Guidelines/Framework%20G uidelines/FG For Joint TYNDP Scenarios.pdf.

# 2. BASIS FOR THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP

Article 12(3) of Regulation 2022/869 (TEN-E Regulation) of 30 May 2022<sup>2</sup> specifies that ENTSO-E and ENTSOG "shall invite the organisations representing all relevant stakeholders, including the EU DSO entity, associations involved in electricity, gas and hydrogen markets, heating and cooling, carbon capture and storage and carbon capture and utilisation stakeholders, independent aggregators, demand-response operators, organisations involved in energy efficiency solutions, energy consumer associations, civil society representatives, to participate in the scenarios development process, in particular on key elements such as assumptions and how they are reflected in the scenarios data."

ACER's Framework Guidelines on the joint TYNDP scenarios to be developed by ENTSO for electricity and ENTSOG for gas states that ENTSO-E and ENTSOG should create a Stakeholder Reference Group (SRG) within three months after the adoption of the Framework Guidelines. It adds that a call for interest should target stakeholders listed in Article 12(3) of the TEN-E Regulation and other relevant organisations and independent experts.<sup>3</sup>

# 3. COMPOSITION AND OPERATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP

#### 3.1 Selection of Stakeholder Reference Group Members

Pursuant to the TEN-E Regulation<sup>4</sup> and ACER's Framework Guidelines, the SRG shall be made up of at least representative stakeholders for the groups described as "relevant stakeholders" in the TEN-E Regulation, and other relevant organisations and independent experts.

SRG members are selected based on a call for interest issued jointly by ENTSO-E and ENTSOG. Based on ACER's Framework Guidelines and the TEN-E Regulation, the ENTSOs issued a call for members from the following groups, setting a maximum number of 3 representatives from each group:

- Associations involved in the electricity market
- Associations involved in the gas (methane and hydrogen) market
- Heating and cooling stakeholders
- Carbon capture and storage and carbon capture and utilisation stakeholders
- Independent aggregators
- Demand-side operators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> REGULATION (EU) 2022/869 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 May 2022 on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure (May 30, 2022), available at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32022R0869&qid=1654587354725&from=en.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TEN-E regulation at Art. 12(3).

- Supply-side operators
- Organisations involved in energy efficiency solutions
- Energy consumer organisations
- Civil society representatives
- Other organisations
- Independent experts

In addition to the groups listed above, the EU DSO Entity should also be represented per the TEN-E Regulation.<sup>5</sup>

In case the number of applicants exceeds the maximum number of 3 representatives per group, ENTSOG and ENTSO-E selected members based on the following criteria:

- Overall balance of interests represented in the Scenarios SRG;
- Avoidance of multiple representation of a single entity;
- Relevance of the sector/industry/technology represented to the energy system and to scenario building;
- If the applicant is an entity, representativeness of the entity (based on size and diversity of membership);
- Relevant expertise in the pan-European energy system, and relevant technical knowledge in scenarios building and/or in modelling, evaluated based on the applicants' experience and motivation;
- Ability of the applicant to contribute to the work of the group (FTE is estimated at 0.10 for members and 0.20 for the Convenor and is subject to variation during scenario project lifetime); and
- Geographical diversity of the membership, with the objective that the overall geographical coverage of all Scenarios SRG members together includes as many European countries as possible as are included in the scenario building perimeter (for associations, considering the geographic diversity of their membership base).

The draft membership list based on the above criteria will be shared with ACER and the European Commission DG ENER for a two-week notice period. After two weeks, if no concern raised by the EC or ACER, the membership list of the Scenarios SRG will be considered approved, applicants will be informed, and the membership list will be made public. This selection process is applicable for every new two-year cycle.

If the number of representatives does not exceed the maximum of 3 for a group, new applicants can be presented for membership in the SRG. This may be in particular to fill an expertise gap or other imbalance in the representativeness of the SRG. The new applicants will have to provide a letter of interest and will be shared with ACER and the European Commission DG ENER for a similar two-week notice period as in the regular membership selection process above.

٠

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> TEN-E Regulation at Art. 12(3).

The SRG members shall serve a term of two years, corresponding to the TYNDP cycle.<sup>6</sup> Members that joined in the course of the two-year cycle, serve for the remainder of the term. Members may serve more than one term.

#### 3.2 Stakeholder Reference Group Observer Members

The ENTSOs, ACER, the European Commission, Member State Representatives, and the European Union's Scientific Advisory Board on Climate Change (hereafter 'Scientific Advisory Board') can become observers to the SRG. Observer members attend Scenarios SRG meetings. They only participate in discussions when it is foreseen in the agenda of the meeting but have no voting power.

#### 3.3 Stakeholder Reference Group Membership Principles

Once selected, members of the SRG commit to reviewing and following these terms of reference, including the following principles for work within the SRG:

- Each organisation member of the SRG is represented by only one 'regular representative', and each regular representative has one vote.
- Each member may designate an 'alternate representative' within their organization to participate in meetings and vote for that entity or organisation when the attendance of the regular representative is not possible. The alternate member may not attend more than three consecutive meetings in place of the regular SRG member.
- An alternate representative may attend additional meetings as a silent observer to allow the alternate representative to keep up with the activities of the group. An alternate representative attending as a silent observer will not have a vote.
- Where a representative to the SRG is no longer able to serve in that role, it is possible to nominate a 'substitute representative' for an entity or organisation that already has membership in the SRG, with the approval of the group per the Framework Guidelines and the voting process laid out in section 3.5. Once approved, the substitute representative will then become the regular representative for the entity or organisation.
- Members shall discontinue their membership in case of conflict of interest.<sup>7</sup> A 'conflict of interest' is defined by the group as a situation wherein the member is acting counter to the interest of the success of the SRG in accomplishing its work as set out in section 4 below.
- SRG shall organise itself to act independently from the ENTSOs, with the aim of
  providing timely, expert input to the ENTSOs' development of scenarios in accordance
  with the ENTSOs' scenario development timeline. The SRG sees this goal as best
  achievable if the ENTSOs make the scenario development timeline available at least
  one month prior to the launch of the respective scenario storyline process. The SRG
  will work with the ENTSOs to develop this schedule in a timely manner.

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> One exception will exist for the initial SRG, which will serve from the inception of the SRG to the end of the 2026 TYNDP cycle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 44.

- Members are expected to follow these terms of reference and to contribute actively
  to the work of the SRG dedicating 0.1 FTE monthly, including by liaising with their
  members and stakeholders to represent their views, and by consistently attending and
  actively participating in meetings. In case a member has not managed to participate in
  three consecutive SRG meetings, the convenors have the right to request to the
  respective organisation a substitute member.
- Members will take the process timeline and stakeholder engagement plan into consideration, by ensuring that input and/or concerns are highlighted as soon as possible so that the group may take all viewpoints into consideration in an organized fashion, and deliver input to the ENTSOs in a timely manner;
- In case the SRG Members represent a membership-based organisations, they can ask for input from their own members or stakeholders as they deem appropriate, all the while respecting confidentiality of data provided by the ENTSOs;
- Members will come to the SRG with respect and an open mind to hearing and considering the diverse perspectives of the other SRG members;
- Members shall be able to suggest agenda points to the entire group without needing prior approval by the convenors;
- The SRG may organize itself to work in plenary or in workgroups that will report back to the whole group;
- Members will strive to come together to provide the most useful input for the development of the joint scenarios;
- Where consensus cannot be reached, Members will work together to consolidate and outline the different viewpoints for the benefit of the ENTSOs, noting the proportion of support for those viewpoints. The different viewpoints should be explicitly stated in the SRG deliverables and communications.
- SRG as a separate entity will not take part in the public consultation of the ENTSOs in the scenario and TYNDP process, however, the SRG members can participate in these consultations on behalf of their organisations.

#### 3.4 Stakeholder Reference Group Convenor(s)

The Members of the SRG shall elect a convenor or co-convenors for the group, and may also elect a vice-convenor or co-vice-convenors. Members interested in serving as a convenor shall submit a statement of interest for consideration by the other members of the group. The candidates receiving the most votes shall be elected as the convenors for the agreed-upon roles. In the event of a tie, there shall be an additional vote between the tied candidates.

Convenor(s) and vice-convenor(s) serve for the same two-year term as regular members of the SRG. If a (vice-) convenor steps down in the course of the two-year term, the SRG organises an open call for members to submit a statement of interest for consideration by the other members of the group. Newly elected (vice-) convenors then serve for the remainder of the two-year term.

The convenor(s) with support of the vice-covenor(s) shall:

 Propose and share the agenda of the Stakeholder Reference Group meetings at least one day before the meeting, although changes to the agenda after that time will not prevent a meeting from moving forward;

- Organise and chair the meetings, with the goal of ensuring balanced expression of represented interests and a non-discriminatory dialogue;
- Draft the minutes of the meetings based on the attached standardised template and circulate those minutes within 10 days of a meeting;
- Draft the input and recommendations to the ENTSOs;
- Draft the Terms of Reference and any amendments thereto.
- Document data asked and delivered from the ENTSOs to the SRG.

#### 3.5 Stakeholder Reference Group Decision Making

The members of the SRG shall take formal votes as needed within the SRG. Those votes shall include at least:

- Election of convenor(s), and vice-convenors;
- Adoption of Terms of Reference and any amendments thereto;
- Adoption of the input and/or recommendations to be submitted to the ENTSOs, including input into the Comprehensive Process Timeline and Stakeholder Engagement Plan;
- Any decision to propose activation of the quick-update process (see 4.1).

A quorum is required for a vote to be taken. A quorum shall consist of at least two-thirds of the members of the SRG. At least two-thirds of represented Members must vote in the affirmative for a decision to be adopted. A Member who is unable to participate in a voting procedure may vote by proxy, if the documentation of that request is made available to the group at the time of the vote. The group shall vote via an electronic voting application, or by raising of hands in a meeting.

The following decisions are made with a lighter approval process:

- Adoption of the agenda: remarks or suggested additions can be sent ahead of the meeting in reaction to the notification of the draft agenda by the convenor(s), or at the start of the meeting.
- Minutes of meetings: remarks or suggested additions can be sent in reaction to the notification of the draft minutes, within one week, after which the minutes are considered approved.
- Substitution of an SRG member within the same entity or organisation when
  requested by that entity or organisation: the SRG Members will be notified by the
  convenor(s), including a letter of interest by the applicant. Any SRG Member can raise
  objections within one week. If there are objections, a formal voting process as above
  will be organised, otherwise, the application will be considered approved.

#### 3.6 Transparency and Documentation

The convenor(s) of the SRG shall provide the following information to the ENTSOs to post on the Scenarios website hosted by the ENTSOs:

- List of SRG members, observer members and convenor(s), including names and affiliations
- SRG Terms of Reference

- Comprehensive Process Timeline and Stakeholder Engagement Plan
- Agendas and minutes of SRG meetings, taking into consideration that the SRG may operate under Chatham House rules.
- Presentations delivered at SRG meetings
- Input and recommendations delivered by the SRG to ENTSOG and ENTSO-E.

#### 4. WORK OF THE STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP

# 4.1 Stakeholder Reference Group Inputs

ACER's Framework Guidelines require that the "development of scenarios shall follow as much as possible an open process to involve stakeholders, ensuring a broad participation." "The SRG shall offer scrutiny, by performing a balanced internal discussion, independently from the ENTSOs, on the inputs, assumptions and methodologies proposed by the ENTSOs."8

To accomplish these goals, the SRG shall provide information and feedback throughout the scenario building process. The SRG's feedback will be guided by the ENTSOs' comprehensive process timeline and stakeholder engagement plan. The SRG will contribute to co-creating the process timeline, which shall include the steps, deadlines and the specific stakeholder engagement plan for the process as outlined in ACER's Framework Guidelines.<sup>9</sup>

Generally, the SRG's input will include:

- Initially, the SRG shall provide the ENTSOs input, which may be based on previous TYNDP storylines and scenarios, including ideas and recommendations regarding inputs, assumptions and methodologies to inform the next scenario-building process. The SRG will include input regarding how best to ensure consistency with EU energy and climate objectives, 2050 climate neutrality objective, the energy-efficiency first principle, the latest Commission scenarios, and the national energy and climate plans (NECPs).<sup>10</sup>
- During the scenario-building process, the SRG will provide additional perspectives about the inputs, assumptions and methodologies being used to build scenarios. The SRG will also provide input regarding any additional scenarios proposed by the ENTSOs for the mid-term and long-term time horizons, including on "key uncertainties concerning network development and the choice of corresponding storylines," and re-opening or addition of storylines.<sup>11</sup>
- The SRG may provide input and feedback related to process timeline and stakeholder engagement plan prepared by ENTSOs before starting the scenarios-building cycle.
- At the end of each TYNDP cycle, the SRG will provide feedback on the process and recommendations for the next cycle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 41, 54, see also para. 31, 32, 35, 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 46-47, passim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 38.

The SRG may propose activation of the quick-update process as the result of a
 'sufficiently significant event' as outlined in ACER's Framework Guidelines.<sup>12</sup> If the SRG
 decides to propose activation of the quick-update process, it must inform the
 European Commission, ACER, the ENTSOs and the Scientific Advisory Board.<sup>13</sup> If the
 quick-update process is activated, the SRG shall provide input as set out in the
 Framework Guidelines.<sup>14</sup>

The SRG shall work towards consensus in its advice and recommendations to the ENTSOs. Where there are diverging points of view or recommendations, the SRG shall propose a vote to determine whether is significant support in one direction or another, and to what extent different viewpoints are supported. The SRG will then draft its main outcomes and recommendations to the ENTSOs taking into account these divergent opinions, including explanation of the points which triggered differences of opinion.<sup>15</sup>

#### 4.2 Information to accomplish the tasks of the Stakeholder Reference Group

"An open and streamlined process relies on clear communication about the assumptions and proper documentation of the inputs, assumptions, models and scenarios." The ENTSOs shall publish information for the SRG and other stakeholders per ACER's Framework Guidelines. This information includes broadly "all data sets, qualitative assumptions and formal hypotheses, as granular and disaggregated as possible, in an appropriate and predefined format." The ENTSOs shall also provide "all technical documentation of the tools and models for those wishing to replicate and reproduce the scenario building," and explanations of "how the tools and models are used in the process for constructing scenarios." In addition to the specific information requirements set forth in the Framework Guidelines, the ENTSOs shall also make available all information and documents requested by the SRG to carry out its tasks, taking into account the "duty to protect justified confidential information in line with legal requirements." All information shall be made available in line with the FAIR principle: Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable. 19

In addition to the information provided by the ENTSOs, the SRG may inform their input to the ENTSOs through additional information, including:

- information and/or presentations requested from the ENTSOs by the SRG;
- analysis and information from members within the SRG;
- outreach by the SRG to external experts; and
- input to the SRG from other stakeholders.

Additional experts may be suggested by the SRG or observers and invited by the SRG Coconveners to SRG meetings and/or other activities when relevant to the foreseen agenda for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 59-65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 62.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 52.

specific topics. Their participation will be announced in advance and at the start of SRG meetings. They may contribute to the discussion but have no voting power. Any material presented by such external experts should be made available to all SRG members.

Where the ENTSOs assert claims of confidentiality, the SRG may request information about those claims, including "a sufficient explanation that allows stakeholders to understand what the data is about, why it is confidential and how the ENTSOs have considered those data in the development of the scenarios." <sup>20</sup> The SRG may request the ENTSOs to consider whether the information can be anonymized or redacted so that as much information as possible can be made available, and how the ENTSOs avoided "agreements that could lead to confidentiality claims and consequent data restrictions." <sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> ACER Framework Guidelines at para. 50.