

Stakeholder Reference Group Workshop

CONTACT:

Eva.Hennig@thuega.de
andrzej@renewables-grid.eu
manderson@raponline.org
Vasiliki.Klonari@windeurope.org

Date: 19.12.2023

ATTENDEES

<i>Member Name</i>	<i>Organisation</i>
Stephan Gross	EU DSO Entity
Alexandre Oudalov	T&D Europe
Anastasios Perimenis	CO2 Value Europe
Christian Kjaer	CurrENT
Daniel Fraile	Hydrogen Europe
Elisabeth Cremona	Ember
Ganni Vassallo	Bellona
Giuseppe Lorubio	EHI
Joni Karjalainen	CAN Europe
Lasse Torgersen	IFIEC
Maria de los Angeles De Vicente Puente	GIE
Mohammed Abi Afthab Olikathodi	Eurelectric
Pawel Lont	EFET
Jo Deketelaere	Equinor (as alternate for Rune Thorsen)


<i>Observers Name</i>	<i>Organisation</i>
Jan Kostevc	ACER
Kristy Louise Rhades	ACER
Maciej Grzeszczyk	European Commission
Léa Dehaut	ENTSO-E
Nalan Buyuk	ENTSO-E
Alexander Kättlitz	ENTSO-G
Kacper Zeromski	ENTSO-G
Kamila Paquel	ESABCC

<i>Co-convenors & vice-convenors</i>	<i>Organisation</i>
Andrzej Ceglaz	RGI
Eva Hennig	Gas DSOs in CEDEC, Eurogas, GD4S, GEODE
Megan Anderson	RAP
Vasiliki Klonari	WindEurope

AGENDA POINTS

2 pm – 4 pm	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Welcome 2. Agenda 3. Protocol meeting 1.12.2023 4. Choice of Logo 5. Status report of the work 6. Discussion Terms of Reference Feedback and approval 7. Feedback on Expertise-collection of the SRG 8. Expertise of members/potential additional members 9. ENTSO’s information on Input of SRG to TYNDP 2024 process 10. Preparation Modelling Workshop 16. Jan 2024 (ENTSO-E) 11. ENTSO’s information on timeline + stakeholder engagement TYNDP 2026 process 12. Meeting dates in the next 6 months 2024 13. AoB
--------------------	---

SUMMARY

Point 2	The agenda was accepted. Next agenda will be shared more in advance (according to ToR – 1 day in advance) to give the members enough time to prepare.
Point 3	The protocol of the previous meeting (30.11.2023) was accepted. Next protocol will be distributed more timely to allow members to give feedback before the next meeting.
Point 4	<p>Two different logos were presented to the SRG on the 18.12. with a possibility to vote. 8 members voted, 7 in favour of one of the logos. At the meeting, no one expressed objection to accept the following logo.</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin: 10px 0;">  </div> <p>The logo will be used in the works of the SRG to clearly identify working and presentation material.</p>
Point 5	The convenors have met with ESABCC on 4.12. SRG has received valuable input for the work of the SRG. The material will be uploaded on the sharepoint. A workshop is planned still in January 2024 with ESABCC and the Copenhagen School of Energy Infrastructure (the STEER Project) to profit as much as possible from the work done. The available information from ESABCC and STEER can be found on the sharepoint.
Point 6	<p>The proposal for the terms of reference were distributed to the members of the SRG on 11 December for feedback which was incorporated into the text. The updated ToR were redistributed on December 17th for final discussion at the meeting.</p> <p>No more comments were received. Vote for ToR: a quorum of 2/3 of the members with voting rights were present. The ToR were accepted with no opposing votes.</p> <p>Proposal for future voting process: to allow members a voting procedure an online tool shall be used in the future.</p>

Point 7/8	<p>The call for expertise was filled out by 9 members + 4 convenors. The missing 9 members are asked to send their feedback as fast as possible.</p> <p>Every named member of the SRG can nominate an alternate. The members are asked to do this as quick as possible so the alternates can be included in the information flows. Both, the member and the alternate can join the meetings and workshops. Voting can only be done by one person.</p> <p>The expertise missing within the current SRG, but relevant for the group’s work shall be identified in the next weeks. Topics identified at the meeting were: biomethane, district heating, large electricity storage.</p> <p>ACER is in the conceptional phase of a new study to be commissioned to an external consultant to investigate potential knowledge gaps in the scenario process and to propose how and/or with whom to fill them. The study should also look into the interactions between different assumptions within the scenarios. ACER asks the SRG to examine the ToR for this study (when they are finished).</p> <p>Results from the discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • proposal to organise a workshop with the Copenhagen School of Energy Infrastructure and ESABCC to learn about their results. This could enable a very targeted study for any gaps. • Analyse which other institutions or experts could be invited into the discussion to explain their experiences and proposals for scenarios e.g. Artelys or Tom Brown. • This work could help to compare quantitative and qualitative elements and how to combine them. • Instead of using an external consultant for the ACER study, JRC could be an alternative <p>The convenors will organise a first workshop end of January.</p>
Point 9/10	<p>The data on the 2024 scenarios will be distributed for review on Jan 12th. The data will be shared at the same time with the specialists within the ENTSOs. The feedback time ends on February 6th. The ENTSOs propose to the SRG to use the same template for the comments that is also used for the other specialists. The convenors will receive the template and get back to the ENTSOs. For the workshop on Jan 16th the SRG decides to do a deep dive into the model used by ENTSOs. This will also help to clarify the first questions that arise from the material delivered on the 12th of January for the 2024 calculations.</p> <p>The workshop will take place at the premises of ENTSO-E and will start with a lunch at 12 and run until 6 pm. The SRG thanks ENTSO-E for the invitation and organisation. The workshop can be attended on site and also on-line by the members and their alternates. All participants joining on site should please send the info to the convenors latest until January 9th for the preparation.</p> <p>The ENTSO’s ask the SRG members to please familiarise themselves with the information published last summer https://2024.entso-tyndp-scenarios.eu/download/ to make the best use of the workshop.</p>
Point 11	<p>To prepare the SRG engagement timeline and the stakeholder feedback process for the 2026 process a meeting will be set up between the ENTSOs and the convenors in the week of 12-16.2. (after the deadline of the 2024 comments). It is important for all members to organise the work as fast as possible.</p>
Point 12	<p>For the months Jan-March a lot of work is forecasted for the SRG. In the beginning a 1 hour call every week is planned. A doodle is set up to find the best accommodating time either Tuesdays between 10-12 or Thursday between 10-12. It is clear that each member has an already tight schedule and full job. The weekly calls are necessary especially in January and February to define for the first time a joint SRG-position on the 2024 results. Depending on the timeline and stakeholder engagement plan for the 2026 process the frequency shall be defined.</p>

ACTIONS		FOLLOW-UP + RESPONSIBILITY
Action 1	Choose Tool for voting process	Until the next meeting, Andrzej

Action 2	Reminder for missing Excel table on expertise	Until Christmas, Andrzej (done)
Action 3	Send 2 separate invitation to physical workshop in Brussels on 16.1.2024, one for digital attendance and second for physical attendance	Andrzej
Action 4	Doodle for weekly meetings in the period Jan-March	Eva (4.1.)
Action 5	ENTSOs deliver the template for the feedback on the 2024 data.	12.1.
Action 6	Invite Copenhagen School of Infrastructure (CSEI) and TU Berlin to workshop Jan 16 th , ensure confidentiality	Andrzej invitation CSEI (done, 22.12.) and invitation TU Berlin (done, 22.12.)